1			
2	$2 \parallel$		
3	3		
4	4		
5	5		
6	6		
7	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY		
8	8 CITY OF SEATTLE,) No.		
9 10			
	vs.) COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AN	D	
11	ROBERT D. DAVIS and ASF, Inc.,		
12 13			
14			
15			
16	The City of Seattle ("City") seeks a declaratory judgment that the defendants are operating		
17	an "adult cabaret", i.e., a strip club, in violation of City ordinances and an injunction prohibiting the		
18	continuing operation of the strip club.		
19	2. PARTIES		
20	2.1 The City of Seattle is a Washington municipal corporation.		
21	2.2 Defendant Robert D. Davis ("Davis") is: the lessee of property located at 5220		
22	Roosevelt Way NE (in Seattle) where the strip club, "Jiggles", is located; and the President of ASF,		
	Inc.		
23	Strip clubs are called "adult cabarets" in the City's zoning ordinance.		
	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND DECLARATORY RELIEF - 1 PETER S. H Seattle City Atto 600 Fourth Aven	rney	

P.O. Box 94769 Seattle, WA 98124-4769

(206) 684-8200

2.3 Defendant ASF, Inc. is a Washington corporation that obtained an adult entertainment premises license from the City for 5220 Roosevelt Way NE.

3. JURISDICTION

- 3.1 This court has jurisdiction over Davis and ASF, Inc. ("defendants").
- 3.2 This court has jurisdiction to issue declaratory judgments and injunctions as allowed by RCW 7.24.010, and has jurisdiction to issue injunctions as allowed by RCW 7.40.010.

4. FACTS

- 4.1 The defendants opened Jiggles in the University District neighborhood of Seattle on December 16, 2010, and have been operating the club since then. Jiggles is located at 5220 Roosevelt Way NE.
- 4.2 The defendants operation of Jiggles violates the City's zoning code and building code, as described in this complaint.

Facts Related to Zoning Code Violations

Violation of strip club buffer requirement

- 4.3 Seattle Municipal Code (SMC) 23.47A.004 (H), one of the City zoning code requirements for adult cabarets, requires that new adult cabarets be located 800 feet or more from specified locations where children congregate: schools, child care centers, public parks and open space, and community centers.
- 4.4 Jiggles violates the 800 foot buffer requirement because it is located within 800 feet of four of the uses identified in paragraph 4.3 that Jiggles must be set back from.
- 4.5 The first use, the University Child Development School located at 5040 9th Avenue NE, is approximately 60 feet across Roosevelt Way from Jiggles.

- 4.6 The second use, the YMCA located at 5003 12th Avenue NE, is approximately 569 feet from Jiggles. The YMCA is established as a community center under the zoning ordinance, and includes child care as a permitted use.
- 4.7 The third use, the University Heights Center for Community located at 5031 University Way NE, is established as a community center that also includes a child care facility as a permitted use, and is located approximately 716 feet from Jiggles.
- 4.8 The fourth use, a City of Seattle public park located at 9th NE and NE 50th, is approximately 788 feet from Jiggles.
- 4.9 A map showing the location of the uses described above and distances from the strip club is attached to this complaint.

Failure to obtain a Master Use Permit Violation

- 4.10 SMC 23.40.002 of the Seattle zoning code requires that a Master Use Permit ("MUP") be obtained from the City before a new use of property is established.
- 4.11 The previous established use of the property under the zoning ordinance was for a restaurant (first floor) and office (second floor).
- 4.12 Because the defendants changed the use of the property from restaurant to an adult cabaret without first obtaining a MUP to establish the use as an adult cabaret, the defendants violated SMC 23.40.002.

Facts Relating to Seattle Building Code Violations

4.13 Sections 109 and 3406 of the Seattle Building Code require that a new Certificate of Occupancy be obtained, following a final inspection, before a building may be used for a different occupancy or if there is a change in the character of the occupancy.

22

23

- 4.14 The current Certificate of Occupancy for 5220 Roosevelt Way NE, issued in 1969, is for a "Tavern" and "Apartments."
- 4.15 Because the defendants have changed the 1969 occupancy to a different occupancy, restaurant and offices, without first obtaining inspections and a new Certificate of Occupancy, the defendants have violated sections 109 and 3406 of the Seattle Building Code.
- 4.16 Section 1006 of the Seattle Building Code requires that building egress be illuminated. The City's inspection of the property has determined that a violation of this code requirement exists.
- 4.17 Section 101 of the Seattle Building Code also requires that exit signs be provided. The City's inspection of the property has determined that a violation of this code requirement also exists.

5. CAUSES OF ACTION and REQUESTS FOR RELIEF

First Cause of Action: Permanently Enjoin the Defendants from Operating an Adult Cabaret at 5220 Roosevelt Way

- 5.1 The City incorporates by reference the facts described in sections 1 through 4 above.
- 5.2 The City seeks a permanent injunction enjoining the defendants from operating an adult cabaret at 5220 Roosevelt Way NE in Seattle until the defendants obtain a Master Use Permit allowing an adult cabaret and until the defendants have complied with the Seattle Building Code.

Second Cause of Action: Entry of a Declaratory Judgment that the Defendants are Violating the Zoning Code

- 5.3 The City incorporates by reference the facts described in sections 1 through 4 above.
- 5.4 The City seeks a judgment declaring that the defendants are operating an adult cabaret in violation of the zoning buffer requirement of SMC 23.47A.004 (H) because Jiggles is

23

located within 800 feet of a school, child care center, community center, and public park, and enjoining the use thereof.

5.5 The City seeks a judgment declaring that the defendants are operating an adult cabaret in violation of SMC 23.40.002 because they failed to obtain a Master Use Permit before establishing the adult cabaret use, and enjoining the use thereof.

Third Cause of Action: Declaratory Judgment that the Defendants are Violating the Building Code

- 5.6 The City incorporates by reference the facts described in sections 1 through 4 above.
- 5.7 The City seeks a judgment declaring that the defendants are violating sections 109 and 3406 of the Seattle Building Code by changing the occupancy of the property without obtaining a final inspection and without obtaining a new Certificate of Occupancy, and enjoining the use of the property until the defendants obtain a final inspection and a new Certificate of Occupancy.
- 5.8 The City seeks a judgment declaring that the defendants are violating sections 1006 and 1011 of the Seattle Building Code by failing to provide egress illumination and exit signs as required by those sections, and enjoining the use of the property until the defendants have complied with the requirements of those sections.

DATED this 27^{th} day of January, 2011.

PETER S. HOLMES Seattle City Attorney

By: s/Robert D. Tobin, WSBA #7517
Assistant City Attorney
bob.tobin@seattle.gov
Seattle City Attorney's Office
600 Fourth Avenue, 4th Floor
P.O. Box 94769
Seattle, Washington 98124-4769
Phone: (206) 684-8249
Attorneys for Plaintiff City of Seattle

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND DECLARATORY RELIEF - 5